

## Navy statements

Navy sent to SF Magazine Dec 1 2016

Q1. Do we know if Tetra Tech is still working on the cleanup? If so, how much longer are they scheduled to be at work, and if not, when did they cease? If they are not, who is the current contractor?

A1. Tetra Tech is currently finishing the last 5% (or less) of work required by their contracts awarded to them 2014 or earlier. Several contractors work at Hunters Point, amongst them are: AMEC, Battelle, Gilbane, CH2M, and CB&I.

Q2. In July, the Navy said it had identified 386 anomalous soil samples that Tetra Tech had performed. Do we know if any more have been found since?

A2. CH2M has been hired to perform a full review of past radiological work completed by Tetra Tech EC. Additional investigations are ongoing and, at this time, no other anomalous soil samples have been identified.

Q3. Do we know when the Navy hopes to hire a third-party contractor to check Tetra Tech's work, and do we know what they will check, exactly, and do we know when they may expect to finish?

A3. CH2M is currently reviewing Tetra Tech EC radiological data. Preliminary findings are expected by the end of Spring 2017 and recommendations will then be made to address any questionable data, if identified.

Q4. At this point, when does the Navy hope to transfer the next parcel of land over to FivePoint, and what parcel might it be?

A4. Parcel B-1, Parcel G, and Parcel B IR7/18 are scheduled to be transferred in the Spring of 2018.

Navy sent to Lily 11/24/16, confirmed still current 12/19/16:

QUESTION: 1. What is the time period Tetra Tech has worked at the Hunters Point Shipyard?

A: While older records are hard to find, we can confirm that TtEC has been working at Hunters Point since the late 1980s.

QUESTION: 2. How many soil samples has Tetra Tech taken during the entire period they've worked at the shipyard?

[This is not a reasonable request. And yes, there is very likely data that is not available on NIRIS. ]

A: TtEC has worked on the base for almost 30 years and counting up all this data is not practical. As data is collected, the results are included in reports produced and available in the information repository and on-line on Envirostor. If there is a specific report you are interested in, please send the name of the report and we can send you a link.

QUESTION: 3. How many of the thousands of soil samples taken by Tetra Tech during their decades of work at the Shipyard were reviewed for quality control and by whom? What methods were used for quality control and is that in a written plan, and if so, please provide us a copy or link.

A: Depending on the type of sampling, quality control plans and sampling plans include procedures that are carried out during field activities to ensure quality control. In addition, the Navy, regulatory agencies, and 3rd party companies provide oversight and QC. The below link provides an example of a quality control plan, which can be found in Appendix I of the document. [ HYPERLINK

"[http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/final\\_documents2.asp?global\\_id=38440005&doc\\_id=60285757](http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public/final_documents2.asp?global_id=38440005&doc_id=60285757)" ]

October 19, 2016 Derek Email

Regarding the Navy's outreach program and/or attendance at Greenaction meetings - The Navy has a comprehensive outreach program that includes multiple community meetings in the Hunters Point area, bus tours, information help lines, website information, quarterly newsletters, and facts sheets. I encourage you to use these resources and signup to their email list. The next Navy meeting is on December 7th at the community center located directly outside of the Hunters Point main gate and I have flier for the event.

Regarding the Navy's use of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) 1981 regulatory levels - The Navy is required to comply with all Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements, also known as ARARs. The NRC's 1981 regulation is an ARAR that the Navy's radiological work is required comply with. Our radiological work also complies with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency requirements and as well as other ARARs.

Regarding TtEC general protectiveness question - The Navy cares about the people who live, work, and recreate in the Hunters Point area and is committed to protect human health and the environment. Based on current known information, there are no public health hazards from radiological contamination at Hunters Point. The Navy's goal is to clean up the environment of man-made sources of radioactive contamination. The Navy continues to work with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control, California Department of Public Health, and the City of San Francisco on the cleanup.

In response to more recent allegations, the Navy has acquired a 3rd party independent contractor to review radiological work conducted by TtEC at HPNS. This review shall conclude which areas have been adequately investigated, which areas require additional assessment, and recommend actions for the additional assessment. This assessment is expected to be complete by the Spring of 2017.

Q: Has the Navy utilized a separate contractor to conduct third-party quality control for radiological work at Hunters Point?

A. Yes, after identifying inconsistent data during an internal quality control review of soil samples collected by Tetra Tech, the Navy hired a contractor to provide third-party quality control for radiological work. This contract provides additional oversight ensuring that all remedial activities conducted by the Navy at Hunters Point Naval Shipyard comply with established regulatory requirements for radiological work, site security, and waste handling procedures.